IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 9372 to 9378 of 2005
with
CIVIL APPLICATION No.4222 of 2005
in
SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 9372 of 2005

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE JAYANT PATEL

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

VIKRAMSINH HEMTUJI BIHOLA - Petitioner(s)
Versus
JP GUPTA OR HIS SUCCESSOR IN OFFICE OF REGISTRAR & 2 - Respondent(s)

==========

Appearance :
MR BS PATEL for Petitioner(s) : 1,MRS RANJAN B PATEL for Petitioner(s) : 1,
GOVERNMENT PLEADER for Respondent(s) : 1,
RULE SERVED for Respondent(s) : 1 - 3.
MS PREETI S PARMAR for Respondent(s) : 2 - 3.
MR SV PARMAR for Respondent(s) : 2 - 3.
==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE JAYANT PATEL

Date : 08/03/2006

COMMON ORAL JUDGMENT

1. Mr.Patel, learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners in the concerned Special Civil Applications, states that as the appointment of Custodian is made, the present petitions for the present would not survive. He further states that the petitioners have challenged the order of appointment of custodian before the higher forum.

2. In view of the above, he states that the petitioners are not pressing the petitions at this stage. Permission granted. Disposed of as not pressed. Rule discharged. I.R., if any, stands vacated.

3. In view of the order passed in the main Special Civil Applications, Civil Application No.4222 of 2005 would not survive and shall stand disposed of accordingly.

8.3.2006 **(Jayant Patel, J.)**

vinod